Minutes of March 12 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:
https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869

Attendees (to be confirmed):

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike DeNicola
IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter
Oracle: Will Lyons
Payara: Steve Millidge
Red Hat: Mark Little, John Clingan
Tomitribe: David Blevins, Richard Monson-Haefel
Martijn Verburg - Arrived late
Ivar Grimstad - not present

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes of the Feb 19th and 26th meeting will be reviewed next time.

Trademark Licensing Agreement and Other Agreements

See note from prior meetings on this discussion topic.

Oracle recently provided the Eclipse Foundation with an updated Trademark License
Agreement and related agreements. I assume it will take the Eclipse Foundation some time to
digest and reply.

> Mike indicated he received revisions to Trademark License Agreement, Specification
> Copyright License, Revisions to the Participation Agreement, and the Member
> Committer Agreement.   Mike said upon first review last night, he was pessimistic that
> we would come to a successful conclusion across the totality of these agreements. The
> Eclipse Foundation will send a response to Oracle.

Tracking open issues from March 5:
- Tomitribe's participation agreement is also outstanding, due to slow progress with the
  Apache Software Foundation (will discuss at next week's meeting).
  - The latest update from Apache was a couple of weeks ago, being reviewed at
    Apache Legal.
- The Fujitsu Participation Agreement is due April 1.
  - Kenji is preparing to execute the Agreement by this date.

Eclipse GlassFish release and TCK testing

Any update on the following:
https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543917

Progress has been made on this bug, but this continues to impact work Oracle is doing, and is not fully resolved.   If this continues, this will become a blocking issue.

Marketing Committee Update

Brand and Marketing Committee will make a decision on compatibility logos, using the vote results as input. 11 proposed logos down to about 4-5, with requested modifications.   Decision expected next meeting on March 14.

Reminder on the developer survey. Please encourage participation.
https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/JakartaEEWG

Stephanie Swart has created a promotional kit with some social media content and a few graphics to use.   Please see the Google Doc:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Vbzosq2PZuTyVC0okdSYUf9vAVoKSmJWh7J_7dOSRyQ/edit?usp=sharing

Jakarta EE 8 Release

The scope of the release was agreed to as described in the following document:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdIvRuHXQXpwQus/edit

The "Next Steps" document provides an overview of the current plan:
https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-IdJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

The following Google doc is being updated:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmpvlVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349

From Tanja:

> In preparation for this week's Steering and Specification Committee's calls, I would like to ask you to review the Jakarta EE Specification work tab of the spreadsheet . I have proposed dates for some of the line items and would like to get your feedback on it.

I am also assuming that we all have an understanding on our plan for the release, at least for the specification work. While the Specification documents are not contributed yet, we can start working on the existing EE4J projects. Below is what we discussed.

Plan per earlier discussions:

   convert existing EE4J eclipse projects into EE4J eclipse specification projects (as appropriate; e.g. not valid for TCK related project)
   change the projects name
   update project scope / description

I would also like to seek Specification Committee approval to start executing the plan, this week.

The spec tab was reviewed and agreed to. Will be reviewed at Spec Committee this week.

On compatible implementations, IBM has not been able to run the contributed TCK against OpenLiberty. Red Hat has shared similar problems.   Ed, Dmitry and Kevin agreed to work this offline (on the public tck mailing list - https://accounts.eclipse.org/mailing-list/jakartaee-tck-dev). Tomitribe is getting the tests to run against TomEE, but not the same number of tests as is being run against Eclipse GF.

Leadership of the Specification Pillar will be discussed at Specification Committee.

Budget Issue

David Blevins requested that either infrastructure focused on GF be removed from the budget or the infrastructure be made available to other implementations.   Paul replied that the infrastructure investment was a general upgrade across the Foundation.   David requested, for example, whether a final build could be executed on this infrastructure.   We will come back to this in a subsequent meeting.

Mike has previously raised the issue that the Jakarta EE budget is being exceeded, primarily due to excess legal costs.

It was suggested that future discussions include a review of actual vs planned spend. Including this as a placeholder for discussion in this meeting.

Proposed Specification Names

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-VsI8pjCBSc0gFrItz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/edit#gid=157814126

Clarification is required from Oracle:
- Would project URLs need to change: e.g. https://projects.eclipse.org/projects/ee4j.jms
  - The answer is yes, they would need to change. We are working on a defining a convention for this, and would prefer to communicate this after Eclipse has a chance to review this.
- Would javax package names need to change e.g. javax.jms - no, there is not a requirement to change

Jakarta Summit

Consensus has been to work on defining an agenda when there is more clarity on resolution of legal issues.